

# **Background & Strategy**

#### **Team 1 Functional Annotation**

Wenyi Qiu, Tianze Song, Saurabh Gulati, Ryan Place, Dongjo Ban, Qinwei Zhuang, Kunal Agarwal, Frank Ambrosio





### Outline

- Introduction to Functional Annotation
- Approaches used in Functional Annotation
- Tools under consideration
  - Homology based tools (Prokka, InterProScan, BLASTDOOR2, Plier-CR)
  - Ab-initio based tools (LipoP, SignalP, TMHMM, DeepARG, Patric)
  - Automated pipelines (blast2go, RAST)
- Preliminary pipeline
- References

#### Introduction to Functional Annotation

#### What is our job?



#### **Functional Annotation**

- Essential feature of the NGS analysis pipeline
- Provides the Comparative Genomics team with required data
- Uses predicted genomic feature locations from the Gene Prediction group
- Different types of genomic features are identified with different tools
  - Homology based databases of known genes
    - Blast compare sequence data to databases of known genomic feature functions
    - Interproscan compares again a high quality combined redundancy-free database
  - Ab Initio sequences with characteristic attributes of a particular subset of genomic features
    - LipoP predicts lipoproteins
    - SignalP predicts signal peptides
    - TMHMM predicts transmembrane helices in proteins



# Homology



Prokaryotic Genome Functional Annotation Tool

- Developed by Victorian Bioinformatics Consortium
- Significantly faster than other tools available at the time of release
- Currently Prokka is an industry standard

# Relative runtimes according to the literature

"There are various online annotation servers (Stewart *et al.*, 2009). The NCBI provides a Prokaryotic Genomes Automatic Annotation Pipeline service via email, with a turn-around time measured in days. RAST is a web server for annotating bacterial and archaeal genomes that provides annotation results in under a day (Aziz et al., 2008), and xBASE2 does similar in a few hours (Chaudhuri et al., 2008). These classes of tools are valuable, but they are not useful where throughput or privacy is critical. Here we present Prokka, a command line software tool that can be installed on any Unix system. Prokka coordinates a suite of existing software tools to achieve a rich and reliable annotation of genomic bacterial sequences. Where possible, it will exploit multiple processing cores, and a typical bacterial genome can be annotated in ~10 min on a quad core desktop computer. It is well suited to iterative models of sequence analysis and integration into genomic software pipelines."

-Torsten Seemann; Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation, Bioinformatics, Volume 30, Issue 14, 15 July 2014, Pages

# Relative runtimes according to the literature

Time required to functionally annotate a single genome on a quad core computer

PGAAP - Days

**RAST - Hours** 

**PROKKA - Minutes** 



What does it do?





#### How does it annotate genes?

- Prodigal identifies the coordinates of potential genes and passes this information to Prokka
- Prokka then uses a hierarchical series of sequence/database comparisons
  - Small Most Trustworthy
    - CARD Database continuously curated database of AMR genes
  - Medium Domain Specific
    - UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot manually annotated, non-redundant
  - Large Protein Families
    - RefSeq
    - Pfam
    - TIGRFAMs



- Rapid Annotation using Subsystem Technology
- Predominantly offered as a web service
- Offered on command line as RASTtk (RAST Tool Kit)
- Upon initial consideration this tools does not appear to scale well
- Required for the use of PATRIC (ML Algorithm)

#### Interproscan

- Interproscan is a large tool that predicts proteins and functional domains, and summarized their output.
- This is done by 15 applications bundled within the software.
  - (CDD, Coils, Gene3D, HAMAP, MobiDB-lite, PANTHER, Pfam, PIRSF, PRINTS, ProDom, PROSITE, SFLD, SMART, SUPERFAMILY, TIGRFAMs)
  - 4 more applications can also be bundled within (TMHMM, Phobius, and SignalP)
- Computationally intensive
- Allows user to specify which applications to use

# DOOR2

- Operon database with ~1.3 million operons for ~2 thousand prokaryotic genomes
  - Operon: a unit of genomic feature with linked function
- Has no command line
  - Use database with BLAST



©1998 Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc.

# VFDB

• an integrated and comprehensive online resource for curating information about virulence factors of bacterial pathogens

#### **Summary statistics**

Type of data	Number
Bacterial pathogens (by genus)	74
of which with full information	30
Virulence factors	1796
of which experimentally verified	532
Bacteria strains involved	926
of which with complete genome	504
VF-related genes (non-redundant) (Set B)*	30178
of which with curation (Set A)*	2599
Related literatures	2508

<sup>\*</sup>uncharacterized genes in PAIs were excluded



# VFDB

- VFs collected based on PubMed papers, GenBank files.
- Could be searched by text, blast or function category keywords.
- The DB could be download in fasta format and the size (compressed) is really small. (DNA sequences,9.01MB, proteins sequences 4.86MB)
- Easy to blast in command line

The Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database

- What it do:
  - Describe antimicrobial molecules and their targets, resistance mechanisms, genes and mutations, and their relationships

CARD

- Predict antibiotic resistance genes from sequence data
- Why choose it:
  - Rigorously Curated
  - Updated Frequently (monthly)



#### **Uniprot Database**

#### • Composed of four categories:

- Uniprot Knowledgebase (UniProtKB)
  - Functional information of proteins
    - Swiss-Prot (manually annotated and reviewed)
    - TrEMBL (automatically annotated and not reviewed)
- Uniprot Reference Clusters
  - Clustered sets of sequences from UniProtKB and UniParc
- UniParc
  - Non-redundant database for protein sequences
- Also contains a proteomes database
  - Has 29 reference *Klebsiella* proteomes

### Databases

- Uniprot
- Interpro
- CARD
- DeepARG-DB
- ARDB
- VFDB
- RefSeq
- DOOR2



- Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Sequences
- They are parts of genomes containing repetitive short (20-30 bp) sequences separated by unique spacer sequences.
- These repeats are found in 40% of all bacteria species.
- Have several biological functions such as-
  - Host cell defense
  - DNA rearrangement
  - Replication and Regulation
- These sequences can be used as a tool for evolutionary study and strain typing.

# PILER-CR

- Tool designed to identify and classify CRISPR repeats.
- Algorithm:-
  - Local alignment of genome with itself, construction of self-similarity plot (dot plot).
  - Identification of contiguous sets of bases (called a pile), each of which is covered by at least 1 local alignment.
  - Construction of graph with piles as nodes.
  - Draft array identification, each pile is tested as the possible first member of a putative CRISPR array.
  - Array refinement, applies heuristics to improve the final inferred array from previous step.
  - Merge adjacent arrays with similar consensus sequences and similar spacer lengths.
  - Cluster consensus sequences into similar groups and carry out multiple alignment.
  - Report generation

# PILER-CR

- This tool works really fast, completes a 5Mb genome in around 5 seconds on a current desktop computer.
- When tested for 346 prokaryotic genomes it completed in 15 minutes on a 2GHz desktop computer.
- Has very high sensitivity (>90%).



# Ab Initio

# SignalP

- Predict signal peptides from amino acid sequences
  - Input: FASTA
  - Output: GFF
- Utilizes a neural network approach
  - Discriminate between signal peptides and transmembrane regions
  - Two networks
    - i. SignalP-TM : transmembrane sequence used in training
    - ii. SignalP-noTM: trained without transmembrane sequence
  - Selection scheme
    - i. If a transmembrane helix is > 4 residues... use SignalP-TM prediction
    - ii. Else... use SignalP-noTM prediction

# SignalP



# SignalP

#### Version 4.0

- O Not as sensitive as v3.0...
- Version 4.1 offers cutoff options which partially addresses this issue (increases FP rate)

iii: Gram-negative bacterial sequences					
200 - an 186-199	All Sequences			Only TM	No TM
Method	SP corr.	CS sens. (%)	CS prec. (%)	FP-rate (%)	SP corr.
SignalP 4.0	0.848	65.4	70.8	1.5	0.882
SignalP-TM	0.815	61.5	75.3	1.1	0.839
SignalP-noTM	0.497	71.2	26.1	35.8	0.948
SignalP 3.0 NN	0.542	74.0	30.8	28.5	0.925
SignalP 3.0 HMM	0.477	76.9	26.1	39.2	0.931
PrediSi	0.479	75.0	27.2	35.6	0.901
SPEPlip	0.429	70.2	21.4	45.1	0.891
Signal-CF*	0.288	73.1	13.8	78.1	0.698
Signal-3L*	0.287	73.1	13.5	81.1	0.714
SignalBlast SP1	0.530	39.4	14.6	25.4	0.767
SignalBlast SP2	0.252	18.3	3.2	72.8	0.543
SignalBlast SP3	0.642	34.6	22.8	11.5	0.836
SignalBlast SP4	0.387	39.4	9.4	46.1	0.635
Phobius	0.586	73.1	33.6	23.3	0.920
Philius	0.639	76.9	26.1	15.7	0.872

# LipoP

- Tool to predict lipoprotein producing genes in bacteria
- Based on Hidden Markov Model
- Trained on sequences from Gram-negative bacteria samples from SwissProt
- Classifies genes into 4 categories based on proteins they produce
  - Signal peptide (Signal peptidase I-cleaved proteins)
  - Lipoprotein signal peptide (Signal peptidase II-cleaved proteins)
  - N-terminal membrane helices
  - Cytoplasmic
- Advantage:
  - 94.6% of lipoproteins in test set were correctly classified

Juncker, A. S., Willenbrock, H., Von Heijne, G., Brunak, S., Nielsen, H., & Krogh, A. (2003). Prediction of lipoprotein signal peptides in Gram-negative bacteria. *Protein Science*, *12*(8), 1652-1662.

# TMHMM

- Tool used to detect transmembrane proteins.
- Helical membrane proteins follow a *"grammar"* in which cytoplasmic and non-cytoplasmic loops alternate.
- Based on Hidden Markov Model
- The HMM has several sub-models corresponding to a different region of a membrane protein such as:- globular, helix core, helix cap etc.
- The sub-models have multiple states to model the lengths of the various regions.
- Has very high (>99%) sensitivity and specificity in the test data set.

J Mol Biol. 2001 Jan 19;305(3):567-80. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. Krogh A1, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer EL.

### PATRIC3

- Trains a model for predicting minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs)
- Uses RAST as the annotation tool
- Requires a large set of genomes with associated phenotypic data
- Uses Adaboost or XGBoost to train the model

### PATRIC3

- PATRIC is a suite of tools including RASTtk
- Machine learning algorithm determines associations between genomic feature and phenotypic antibiotic resistance
- A model has been trained for Klebsiella
- This model does not predict colistin resistance



https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5765115/

# DeepARG

• Predict antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) from metagenomic data or assembly genome.

Input	Output	
FASTA file	blast tab delimited alignment file	
<b>BLAST tabular file</b>	annotated reads	

#### Uses a neural network approach

- Developed a new ARG database name DeepARG-DB: collection of CARD, ARDB and UniProt
  - 30 antibiotic categories, 2149 groups, and 14,933 references sequences\*.
- Developed two models:
  - DeepARG-SS: constructed for short read sequences (NGS data)
  - DeepARG-LS: constructed for full gene length sequences
- Training model is time-consuming, but has already been done and released.
- Prediction time will be in order of minutes\*\*.

### DeepARG

- Comparison between DeepARG and the typical best hit approach\*:
  - Both can reach high precision (low false positive rates)
  - DeepARG is able to yield consistently lower false negative rates
    - Best hit approach has high identity cutoffs (80% or 90%). DeepARG does not have a strict identity cutoff.
      - In reality, ARGs can have a significant e-value but a low identity (such as 30%) when comparing to known ARGs in databases.
    - DeepARG is capable of predicting novel ARGs of known categories\*\* in DeepARG-DB, while best hit approach usually performs poorly in finding novel ARGs.
    - DeepARG performs better than best hit approach in PseudoARG test\*\*\*.

### Limitations of DeepARG

Like all neural network approaches, the prediction accuracy and specificity of DeepARG strongly relies on the quality of ARGs in the database.

The limitations of DeepARG includes:

- Cannot predict antibiotic resistance that arises from SNPs.
- Can only predict whether a gene or read belongs to one of the 30 categories that are considered by the model.
- Predicting power relies on the quality of the training database
  - Annotation errors will adversely affect the prediction of the models
- Downstream validation is still needed\*.



# **Automated Pipeline**

# BLAST2GO

- Tool for functional annotation of novel sequences and the analysis of annotation data.
- Annotation based on homology
- Performs functional annotation in three steps:
  - Homologous sequences are identified using BLAST
  - Mapping is done to retrieve Gene Ontology terms
  - Annotation to select functions
- Supports annotation databases like InterPro, Enzyme Codes, KEGG pathways and GO.
- Command line version is not free, desktop version has limits on features.

#### BLAST2GO



### BEACON

- Compares annotations produced by various annotation methods (AMs)
- Using this comparison a consensus may be reached for loci with discrepancies between predicted genomic feature function
- Can combine annotations from various AMs to generate an Extended Union Annotation



https://bmcgenomics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12864-015-1826-4

### **Proposed Pipeline**



#### References

Bendtsen, J. D., Nielsen, H., von Heijne, G., & Brunak, S. (2004). Improved prediction of signal peptides: Signal P 3.0. Journal of molecular biology, 340(4), 783-795.

Petersen, T. N., Brunak, S., von Heijne, G., & Nielsen, H. (2011). SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. *Nature methods*, 8(10), 785.

Nielsen, H. (2017). Predicting secretory proteins with SignalP. Protein Function Prediction: Methods and Protocols, 59-73.

Jia, B., Raphenya, A. R., Alcock, B., Waglechner, N., Guo, P., Tsang, K. K., ... McArthur, A. G. (2017). CARD 2017: expansion and model-centric curation of the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *45*(Database issue), D566–D573. <u>http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1004</u>

Jones, P., Binns, D., Chang, H.-Y., Fraser, M., Li, W., McAnulla, C., ... Hunter, S. (2014). InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics, 30(9), 1236–1240. <u>http://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031</u>

http://csbl.bmb.uga.edu/DOOR/index.php

Arango-Argoty, G., Garner, E., Pruden, A., Heath, L. S., Vikesland, P., & Zhang, L. (2018). DeepARG: a deep learning approach for predicting antibiotic resistance genes from metagenomic data. *Microbiome*, *6*(1), 23.

Conesa, A., & Götz, S. (2008). Blast2GO: A comprehensive suite for functional analysis in plant genomics. International journal of plant genomics, 2008.

#### References

Juncker, A. S., Willenbrock, H., Von Heijne, G., Brunak, S., Nielsen, H., & Krogh, A. (2003). Prediction of lipoprotein signal peptides in Gram-negative bacteria. *Protein Science*, *12*(8), 1652-1662.

The UniProt Consortium. (2015). UniProt: a hub for protein information. Nucleic Acids Research, 43(Database issue), D204–D212. http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku989

<u>J Mol Biol.</u> 2001 Jan 19;305(3):567-80. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. <u>Krogh A1</u>, <u>Larsson B</u>, <u>von Heijne G</u>, <u>Sonnhammer EL</u>.

Nguyen, M., Brettin, T., Long, S. W., Musser, J. M., Olsen, R. J., Olson, R., ... Davis, J. J. (2018). Developing an *in silico* minimum inhibitory concentration panel test for *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Scientific Reports*, *8*, 421. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18972-w